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# SECTION 5

EVALUATION GRID

## EVALUATION GRID (To be customised according to the project. The criteria indicated are to be used by the evaluation committee.)

## This grid must be completed by each evaluator.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Contract title:** | Installation of prefabricated sport facilities – skating rink and sledge toboggan run under project CB007.2.12.034 “Improving the winter tourist offer in Sokobanja and Varshets municipalities – Winter Cross-Border Tour Varshets- Sokobanja” funded under Interreg – IPA-CBC Bulgaria-Serbia Programme | **Publication reference:** | CB007.2.12.034/PP2-TD05 |

| **Tender envelope no.** | **Tenderer's name** | **Rules of origin respected?**  **(Yes/No)** | **Economic and financial capacity? (OK/a/b/…)** | **Professional capacity? (OK/a/b/…)** | **Technical capacity? (OK/a/b/…)** | **Compliance with technical specifications? (OK/a/b/…)** | **Ancillary services as required? (OK/a/b/…/NA)** | **Nationalities of subcontractors eligible?**  **(Yes/No)** | **Other technical requirements in tender dossier?**  **(Yes/No/Not applicable)** | **Technical compliance? (Yes/No)** | **Observations** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Evaluator's name** |  |
| **Evaluator's signature** |  |
| **Date** |  |

[Only very exceptionally, subject to derogation[[1]](#footnote-1), the works offers which comply with the minimum quality levels, should be technically scored.

If so, add a technical evaluation grid[[2]](#footnote-2) (setting out the technical criteria, subcriteria and weightings):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Maximum** |
| **Criteria** |  |
|  |  |
| … |  |
| … |  |
| … |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| **Overall total score** | **100** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Strengths** |  |
| **Weaknesses** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Evaluator's name** |  |
| **Evaluator's signature** |  |
| **Date** |  |

1. Please note that such derogation is not required for ‘Design-Build’ (‘DB’) tender dossiers (see Article 18 of the DB contract notice, Article 24 of the DB instructions to tenderers, and footnote 3 in Section 5.3.3 ‘Selection and award criteria’ of the PRAG. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. For ‘Design-Build’ (‘DB’) tender dossiers where the award criterion is ‘lowest aggregate of costs’ (see option 3 of Article 18 of the DB contract notice and of Article 24 of the DB instructions to tenderers), the criteria on the basis of which the aggregation of capital expenditure (‘CAPEX’) and operational expenditure (‘OPEX’) costs will be calculated, must be specified in the ‘Criteria’ column and the ‘Maximum’ column can be deleted as ponderation is replaced with aggregation. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)